The effect of containing Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles in different matrix polymers on properties of pineapple fiber-reinforced composites
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INTRODUCTION

Natural-fiber-reinforced composites, or "green composites," have been the subject of extensive investigations in recent years for use in a variety of structural and non-structural applications. They are considered a preferable alternative to synthetic fibers due to their biodegradable, sustainable, and economical properties as opposed to synthetic fibers, which are known to be environmentally harmful, non-renewable, and difficult to decompose, leading to increased carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions [1,2]. One natural fiber that has the potential to replace synthetic fibers is the pineapple leaf fiber (PALF). Because of its ability to biodegrade, this fiber has minimal environmental effects.
PALF has gained significant attention as a reinforcement in polymeric composites, in addition to other fibers such as coir, sisal, hemp, flax, and kenaf. PALF is a natural fiber derived from the pineapple plant (*Ananas comosus*), which belongs to the Bromeliaceae family. PALF is extracted from pineapple leaves. It consists of 70–82% cellulose, 13.6–21% hemicellulose, 5–12% lignin, and 1.1% pectin [3]. It is abundant in tropical climates, particularly in Southeast Asian nations, such as Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, and Indonesia [3,4]. Today, world pineapple production is around 33.38 million tons per year, of which Indonesia produces 2.45 million tons [5]. PALF has been developed for various applications, such as infrastructure, packaging, biomedicine, furniture, automotive, etc. It exhibits good mechanical properties and is environmentally friendly, making it a widely used reinforcing material in polymer composites [6].

However, natural fibers such as PALF have several limitations, including hydrophilic properties, variable and irregular fiber dimensions, and relatively low fiber properties compared to synthetic fibers. One technique to overcome this problem is to modify the matrix using micro- and nanofillers. Fillers play an important role in helping to increase the wettability and interface of the base material so that, in the end, they affect the final properties of the composite. Mishra et al. [3] suggested another method to improve the performance of natural composites, that is, to use particulate fillers such as alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$), silicon, graphite, and carbon. The incorporation of fillers into the composite improves the rigidity of the matrix phase and the cross-linkage between the matrix and the reinforcement, such that the physical, mechanical, heat resistance, and wear resistance properties of the composite become enhanced. The dispersal of fillers can also cover microvoids, which slows crack propagation and failure [7].

In addition to the types of reinforcement and filler, the type of polymer matrix also influences the characteristics of the composite. The matrix selection is based on the application requirements of the composite materials. Epoxy and unsaturated polyester resins (UPRs) are two widely used thermoset matrices for various structural and performance applications. Both resins have low cost, low density, good chemical resistance, and excellent processability [8]. Mishra et al. [3] investigated the characteristics and potential industrial applications of hybrid composites filled with inorganic nanoparticles. The effect of adding inorganic fillers to unreinforced polymer composites on water uptake, thermal conductivity, and tensile strength has been investigated. All the three properties examined showed positive results with the inclusion of a filler in the composite matrix [9]. The incorporation of SiC, graphene, Al$_2$O$_3$, and titanium oxide fillers in polyester and epoxy polymer composites reinforced with a natural fiber also affects the mechanical and physical properties [10]. In addition, the influence of inorganic fillers on natural fiber composites (e.g., flax [11], sisal [12], coir and banana [13], and jute and hemp) has also been examined [14].

Numerous works have studied the effect of inorganic fillers as composite fillers. However, studies on the impact of adding the alumina filler on the physical and mechanical properties of PALF-reinforced composites have yet to be conducted. Radoor et al. [15] examined the technique for the extraction of PALF and PALF use as reinforcement in polymer matrices. Other studies have also investigated the suitability, competitiveness, and capacity of PALF as a reinforcement in natural composites [16,17]. The mechanical and physical properties of PALF-reinforced polymer composites are influenced by aspects such as aspect ratio, microfibrillar angle, crystallinity, and cellulose concentration, similar to other natural fibers. Pothuri et al. [18] figured out that adding the Al$_2$O$_3$ filler enhances the water absorption, wear resistance, and strength of pineapple leaf, sisal, and coir fiber hybrid composites.

Although many research studies have been published on the characteristics of polymeric composites with the incorporation of inorganic fillers, studies investigating the impact of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles on the properties of PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites have yet to be published. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles addition to PALF-reinforced polymeric composites (with epoxy and UPRs matrices) on the physical, mechanical, and thermal properties of the composites. The composites were manufactured by the hand lay-up technique with 30 wt% pineapple fiber and a fiber orientation of 0 degrees.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

The PALF-reinforced composites were manufactured using epoxy and UPRs matrices with variable levels of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticle content. PALF was collected from Subang, West Java, Indonesia. The Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles, purchased from Labchem Sdn. Bhd, Selangor, Malaysia, were used as a filler in powdered form (50–90 µm) with a purity level > 99.9%. In this study, two types of matrix, epoxy and UPRs, were provided by Justus Kimia Raya, Medan, Indonesia. The epoxy matrix consisted of bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (Eposchon A) and polyaminoamide (Eposchon B) as a hardener in a 1:1 ratio. Meanwhile, the UPRs matrix (Yukalac 157 BQTN-EX) was hardened with 1% methyl ethyl...
ketone peroxide. The various characteristics of PALF, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and the matrices are shown in Tab. 1. The PALF-reinforced composites’ forming materials are shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical/Properties</th>
<th>Pineapple [15,19]</th>
<th>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ [20,21]</th>
<th>Epoxy [22]</th>
<th>UPRs [20]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose (%)</td>
<td>70-82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulose (%)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin (%)</td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm$^3$)</td>
<td>0.8-1.6</td>
<td>3.78-3.95</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.09-1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength (MPa)</td>
<td>184-627</td>
<td>200-265</td>
<td>26.84</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (GPa)</td>
<td>1.44-6.32</td>
<td>210-380</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.24-0.30</td>
<td>0.20-0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The chemical content and mechanical and physical properties of the composites’ raw materials

Two types of PALF-reinforced composite structures were produced, as shown in Tab. 2. The PALF-reinforced composite structures consisted of epoxy and UPRs matrices mixed with $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ at 0, 5, 10, and 15 wt%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of specimen</th>
<th>Epoxy (wt.%</th>
<th>UPRs (wt.%</th>
<th>Pineapple fiber (%)</th>
<th>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CE0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The structure design of PALF-reinforced composites with $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ microparticles
Composites production process

In this study, the first process carried out was the selection of fiber dimensions. The PALF obtained was cleaned with water and then dried in an oven (Memmert Oven model UN55 53L, Germany) until it reached a moisture content of 10–15% before being used. This study used PALF with a weight fraction of 30% as a continuous phase (0° fiber orientation) and 0, 5, 10, and 15 wt% of Al2O3 to prepare the composites. A mold was prepared from a blockboard with dimensions of 300 × 250 × 15 mm. The mold was covered with aluminum foil, and PALF was placed on it. Aluminum foil was used for easy removal of the composite from the mold.

Composites were made using the hand lay-up technique. Direct mixing was applied to create both types of composites. Homogenous dispersion was first achieved by adding Al2O3 powder to the resin and stirring with a mechanical stirrer (mixer model HM-620 Miyako, Japan) at 200 rpm for 3 minutes. Catalysts or hardeners were used as initiators for curing processes. After complete mixing, the finished mixture was poured into the mold, followed by rolling and a 24-hour curing process before the mixture was removed from the mold. For each experimental scenario, five samples were created and checked in accordance with ASTM standards. The composites were cured at room temperature for seven days before testing. Fig. 2 depicts the stages of manufacturing the PALF-reinforced composite specimens with the alumina filler.

Figure 2: The manufacturing of PALF-reinforced composites with the alumina filler

Characterization of composites

The effect of Al2O3 microparticles in the PALF-reinforced composites was evaluated by carrying out physical and mechanical tests on the prepared samples.

Physical characterization

Physical characterization tests consisted of density and water absorption tests. The density test of the PALF-reinforced composites used the theorem of Archimedes using water as a medium and precision scales (model AND EK-610i Compact Balance, Japan) in reference to the ASTM D792 standard [23]. Five specimens were prepared according to the experimental design, and averages were calculated to determine the composite density for each level of Al2O3 content. To evaluate the water absorption performance of the composites, the ASTM D570 [24] standard was used. Samples with dimensions of 25 × 25 × 3 mm were dried in an oven before being immersed in water for 16 days. Every 2 days, the samples were taken out and weighed again, and the dry weight after immersion was recorded.

Mechanical characterization

The investigation of mechanical properties consisted of tensile strength, flexural strength, and shore hardness tests. PALF-reinforced composite samples were prepared for tensile (230 × 25 × 3 mm) and flexural strength (100 × 25 × 3 mm) tests according to the ASTM D3039 [25] and ASTM D790 standards [26], respectively. An RTF 1350 model Tensilon universal testing machine (Japan) with a 50 kN load cell was used in both tests at 5 mm/min and 2 mm/min crosshead speed for the tensile and flexural strengths, respectively. The flexural strength of the PALF-reinforced composite samples was evaluated using the three-point method. Furthermore, a composite hardness test was carried out using a 0.5 HD Shore D digital durometer (China) in accordance with the ASTM D2240 standard [27]. Hardness was evaluated by inserting a durometer needle into the composite samples and reading the resulting hardness numbers on the pointer. Measurements were taken on both sides by calculating the average of five readings.

Thermogravimetric analysis

The influence of different matrices and fillers on the thermal degradation characteristics of the PALF-reinforced composites was investigated using the Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) test. TGA tests were carried out using a thermal analyzer (SHIMADZU DTG 60, Japan) following the ASTM E1131-08 standard [28]. A sample of 5 mg was
heated in a sample pan from 30 °C to 600 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 40 °C/min, with a flow rate of 20 ml/min. In general, the research flowchart diagram is shown in Fig. 3.

![Research Flowchart Diagram](image)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Characterization of the mechanical and physical properties, as well as the thermal stability, showed a variety of composite performance depending on the amount of Al₂O₃ filler and the type of matrix used. The following are some of the findings from the characterization tests.

**Effect of Al₂O₃ contents on tensile strength**

The tensile property is one of the most crucial mechanical characteristics of a material. This stress is the external force required to break the sample and is usually found at the peak of the stress-strain curve. Fig. 4a–b illustrates the stress-strain curves from the tensile tests of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites with Al₂O₃ filler. As shown in Fig. 4a, all PALF-reinforced epoxy composites with the Al₂O₃ filler show lower stiffness than the composites without filler. The same held true with the UPRs composites (Fig. 4b), but these composites had lower stress than the epoxy composites. Fig. 4 and Tab. 3 show some of the composites' mechanical properties.

![Stress-strain Curves](image)

The tensile and flexural strengths of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites at varying concentrations of Al₂O₃ microparticle filler are shown in Tab. 3. This table also shows the corresponding tensile and flexural results of the epoxy and UPRs composites without filler as control specimens. Fig. 5 depicts the results from Tab. 3 for visual interpretation. It is shown in the figure that the epoxy composites had greater tensile strength than the UPRs composites.
The tensile strength of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites ranged from 22.42 MPa to 33.54 MPa and from 15.39 MPa to 21.62 MPa, respectively. In addition, the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites with 0% filler (CE0 and CP0) displayed tensile strength values superior to the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites with 15 wt% Al2O3 (CE15 and CP15). In this case, the presence of more Al2O3 microparticles could gradually reduce the tensile strength. Compared to the decrease of tensile strength of the composites without Al2O3 (i.e., 33.54 MPa), the epoxy composites with 5, 10, and 15 wt% Al2O3 filler decreased in tensile strength by 16.70, 24.92, and 49.59%, respectively. For the UPRs composites, the decreases in tensile strength ranged from 19.51 to 40.48%. The decrease in tensile strength might be due to agglomerations that acted as stress concentration centers representing internal defects. This caused weakening and ultimately decreased the tensile strength [29–31]. Fig. 6 shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of composite defects, where alumina microparticle agglomerations caused poor interfacial interaction between the fiber and filler and the matrix, which caused a decrease in tensile strength. In addition to agglomerations, composites have micro-defects as voids that initiate cracks. Moreover, as revealed by previous studies involving nanofillers addition, tensile strength tends to decrease with increasing Al2O3 content in the polymer matrix [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Flexural strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CE0</td>
<td>33.54 ± 2.9</td>
<td>26.88 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE5</td>
<td>28.74 ± 2.5</td>
<td>38.61 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE10</td>
<td>26.85 ± 2.6</td>
<td>39.92 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE15</td>
<td>22.42 ± 2.2</td>
<td>47.58 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP0</td>
<td>21.62 ± 2.7</td>
<td>62.22 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP5</td>
<td>18.09 ± 2.1</td>
<td>72.21 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP10</td>
<td>17.24 ± 2.4</td>
<td>73.97 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP15</td>
<td>15.39 ± 2.3</td>
<td>86.20 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Mechanical characteristics of the PALF/Al2O3 composites.

Figure 5: Comparison of tensile strength of the PALF/Al2O3 composites using epoxy and UPRs matrices.

**Effect of Al2O3 contents on flexural strength**

The stress-strain curves for the flexural test are similar to the stress-strain curves for the tensile test, but the strain that occurred in the former was longer than the strain that occurred in the latter. As observed in Fig. 7, the strain-break of the composites increased with increasing Al2O3 content. The elongation was directly comparable to the flexural strength. An increase in flexural strength usually leads to an increase in strain.
Fig. 8 depicts that the flexural strength of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites was affected by Al$_2$O$_3$ incorporation. The flexural strength of the composites with the UPRs matrix was higher than that of the composites with the epoxy matrix (~45.67%). The flexural strength of the epoxy and UPRs composites ranged from 26.88 MPa to 47.58 MPa and from 62.22 MPa to 86.20 MPa, respectively. Furthermore, the composites with 15 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles (CP15 and CE15) had the maximum flexural strength. The composites' flexural strength gradually increased as the percentage of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles increased.

The increases in flexural strength of the filler-incorporated PALF-reinforced composites might be attributed to polymeric chain interlocking due to Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles (Fig. 9). The composites could better resist external pressure, which increased the flexural strength. In addition, the flexural strength was also influenced by the properties of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles. This finding helps devise a strategy to improve the flexural strength of composite materials. Similar findings were also observed in previous studies with the incorporation of different fillers in polymer composites [33,34].

**Effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ contents on hardness**

Tab. 4 shows the PALF-reinforced composites' Shore D hardness observed with different matrices and Al$_2$O$_3$ loadings. The Shore D hardness of the composite specimens with both matrices increased with the increase in Al$_2$O$_3$ filler content.
Figure 8: Comparison of flexural strength of the PALF/Al$_2$O$_3$ epoxy and UPRs composites

Figure 9: Surface micrographs of PALF-reinforced composites with alumina microparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CE0</th>
<th>CE5</th>
<th>CE10</th>
<th>CE15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shore-D hardness</td>
<td>61.6 ± 2.12</td>
<td>63.2 ± 1.84</td>
<td>64.5 ± 2.62</td>
<td>66.8 ± 3.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CP0</th>
<th>CP5</th>
<th>CP10</th>
<th>CP15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shore-D hardness</td>
<td>58.2 ± 2.21</td>
<td>62.6 ± 2.16</td>
<td>72.2 ± 2.82</td>
<td>81.5 ± 2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: PALF/alumina composites’ Shore D hardness

For the PALF-reinforced epoxy composite with 0% filler, the Shore D hardness value was 61.6, whereas the maximum increase was obtained by the composite filled with 15 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles (CE15). This Shore D hardness measured 66.8 at the maximum, which was approximately 8.4% higher than the Shore D hardness value obtained by the 0-wt%-Al$_2$O$_3$-filled composite (CE0). It can also be observed from Tab. 3 that the UPRs composites filled with Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles had the maximum shore D hardness value of 81.5 (CP15 sample). In the case of composites filled with 10 and 15 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$, the Shore D hardness of the UPRs composites was higher than that of the epoxy composites. In general, the addition of Al$_2$O$_3$ to the composites enhanced the composite hardness. The hard Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles blocked the movement of the polymeric bonds. Consequently, the composites became more resistant to external indentation, leading to higher hardness values [35]. The homogeneous distribution of filler particles could cover voids, which leads to increased indentation resistance. However, the increase in the filler content in the composite also triggers
an increase in the risk of agglomeration. In this work, agglomeration has a negative effect on tensile strength, but a positive effect on flexural strength (Fig. 10).

Several researchers have previously published that the incorporation of nano-Al$_2$O$_3$ improves hybrid composites' mechanical properties and wear behavior [34]. Nayak et al. and Latief et al., for instance, reported an increase in the hardness of UPRs composites filled with marble dust and micro-Al$_2$O$_3$ [10,36]. The Shore D hardness of the PALF-reinforced UPRs composites was higher than that of the PALF-reinforced epoxy composites. This suggests that the matrix used also has a role in determining the hardness of a composite. In this study, the composites produced were categorized as hard, for CP0, and extra hard, for the other samples [37] (Fig. 11).

**Effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ contents on physical properties**

Fig. 12 shows the graphical depiction of the theoretical and experimental densities of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and composites with different levels of Al$_2$O$_3$ content. For all samples, the theoretical density was slightly higher than the UPRs experimental density. This finding can be attributed to the voids in the composites that formed due to the production process being carried out by hand lay-up. Therefore, forming composites in a vacuum is highly recommended to reduce voids [10,36]. The experimental density of the epoxy and UPRs composites ranged from 1.11 to 1.23 g/cm$^3$ and from 1.18 to 1.36 g/cm$^3$, respectively. When the Al$_2$O$_3$ filler was added, the value of density increased, which was the highest for the composite with 15 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles. The effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ on density was higher than that of the other constituents of the composite, which improved the average density of the PALF-reinforced composite as a whole.

Fig. 13 shows the effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ microparticles on the water absorption behavior of the PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites. The water absorption performance of all composite samples was significant from the second to the twelfth day and became practically constant until the sixteenth day. The results showed that all samples experienced an increase in their water-holding capacity over a long time until they were saturated on the twelfth day. In this case, the
UPRs composites performed better in terms of water resistance than the epoxy composites. The different physical properties of both matrices led to differences in water absorption performance. The UPRs matrix has more excellent density than the epoxy matrix, so the UPRs composites had better water resistance than the epoxy composites. Furthermore, the water absorption capacity of the epoxy and UPRs composites ranged from 2.94 to 8.47% and from 2.56 to 3.85%, respectively, in which case the CE0 and CP0 samples had the highest water absorption performance.

PALF is hydrophilic and tends to absorb moisture when exposed to water. This may cause the fiber to swell and create microcracks at the matrix-fiber interface, thereby affecting the mechanical properties of the composite. Adding the alumina filler into the matrix gradually reduces the water absorption ability of natural fiber composites. The hydrophobic alumina filler reduces a PALF-reinforced composite's water intake affinity and covers microcracks or cavities trapped in the composite [14].

**Effect of Al₂O₃ contents on thermal stability**

Fig. 14 shows the TGA curves of the Al₂O₃-filled PALF-reinforced epoxy and UPRs composites. The composites with the two different matrices showed similar decomposition patterns with three phases of mass loss. The first phase of mass loss due to moisture evaporation occurred at 30–152 °C at about 4.4% and 30–156 °C at about 3.6% for epoxy and UPRs composites, respectively. The mass loss in the second phase was the main decomposition. In this phase, the main constituents of the composites, i.e., the matrix and fiber (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin), were degraded. The third phase was the final phase in the constituent decomposition [38].
Fig. 14 shows that the main decomposition temperature range of the CE0 sample (0% Al2O3 loading) was between 382.7 and 505.73 °C. The first peak was between 268 and 442 °C, with a threshold of 418 °C. The second decomposition was between 442 and 540 °C, with a maximum of 469 °C (Fig. 14). The two peaks corresponded to a weight loss of about 79.5%, and the addition of Al2O3 to the PALF-reinforced composites had shifted the TGA curve to the right. This finding showed the positive effect of Al2O3 on the composites’ thermal stability. In the case of the CE5 sample (5 wt% Al2O3), the first peak was between 242 and 444 °C, with a maximum of 423 °C, and the last decomposition phase was in the range of 444–540 °C, with a maximum of 469 °C. Furthermore, several mass losses of the CE15 sample (15 wt% Al2O3) occurred in the range of 392–540 °C. Derivative Thermogravimetry (DTG) (Fig. 14) showed the main temperature peak of the complicated decomposition of TPU around 467 °C. The decomposition of CE5 and CE15 was very fast, with complicated degradation and weight losses of about 74.4% and 70.5%, respectively.

The TGA curve of the composite materials made of PALF and unsaturated polyester resins (UPRs) exhibited a similar behavior to that of the epoxy composites, as shown in Fig. 14. However, the decomposition temperatures of all samples of the UPRs composites were lower than those of the epoxy composites. The decomposition temperatures of CP0, CP5, and CP15 ranged between 363 and 453 °C, 364 and 456 °C, and 365 and 460 °C, respectively, and they were comparatively lower than those of the epoxy composite samples. The CP samples disintegrated rapidly with complicated decomposition in the range of 297–510 °C, with a weight loss of about 59.6 and 67.5%. Furthermore, charcoal residues
were generated from the decomposition that occurred, which was observed at 550 °C. The composites produced charcoal residues in the range from 2.2% to 17.9%, in which case the highest and lowest charcoal residues were produced by CP15 and CE0, respectively. Increasing the amount of alumina filler would increase the amount of charcoal residues. The characteristics of the alumina filler, which has better heat resistance than the fiber and matrix, played an essential role in increasing charcoal residue production.

The DTG curve (Fig. 15) shows the maximum temperature peaks of the complicated decomposition of CP0, CP5, and CP15 at around 421, 424, and 425 °C, respectively. The addition of Al₂O₃ increased the initial temperature of the main decomposition, and the Al₂O₃ microparticles created a char layer and reduced oxidative degradation. The increased amount of Al₂O₃ microparticles in the composites made the process of creating a char layer faster. This phenomenon reduced ignition and resulted in weight loss. This finding demonstrated that the incorporation of Al₂O₃ microparticles increases composites' thermal stability, particularly in terms of the polymer matrix. This result is supported by a previous study [39], which proved that silica positively increases the thermal stability of spruce wood composites.

CONCLUSIONS

This research examined the effect of Al₂O₃ microparticles on the physical, mechanical, and thermal stability properties of epoxy and UPRs composites reinforced with PALF. PALF-reinforced composites were made with varying levels of Al₂O₃ content (0, 5, 10, 15% by weight) by hand lay-up. The addition of Al₂O₃ microparticles affected the physical, mechanical, and thermal stability properties of epoxy and UPRs composites reinforced with PALF. The flexural strength, hardness, density, water resistance, and thermal stability gradually increased with the %weight of Al₂O₃ in the composite, while the tensile strength decreased. The PALF-reinforced epoxy composites had higher tensile strength than the PALF-reinforced UPRs composites, while the opposite was true for the flexural strength. The CP15 sample (composed of 30% fiber, UPRs matrix, and 15 wt% Al₂O₃) showed superiority in flexural strength (86.20 MPa), Shore D hardness (81.50), experimental density (1.36 g/cm³), and water absorption capacity (3.33%), but not in tensile strength. TGA observations of the composites showed that the thermal stability increased with more alumina microparticles added, and the CE15 sample showed higher thermal stability than the other samples. The characterization results showed that alumina-filled PALF-reinforced composites have the potential as engineering materials. However, their application must consider the working loading conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses profound gratitude to the Politeknik Negeri Lhokseumawe, Aceh, Indonesia for the support and funding of this study.

REFERENCES

USA, ASTM International.


